



# Consultation 1 Report

Version : 0.1

Status: Final

Classification: Public

- 1. INTRODUCTION ..... 2
- 2. CONSULTATION PROCESS..... 2
- 3. OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION PROCESS ..... 2
- 4. APPENDICES ..... 2

## 1. INTRODUCTION

From the 12<sup>th</sup> of November 2019 until the 11<sup>th</sup> of December 2019 included, Balansys consulted the market on its balancing services in order to follow the regulatory procedure which enable it to operate as a balancing operator in Belgium and Luxembourg.

The proposed modifications aim at:

- (i) Counterparty risk management
- (ii) Invoicing
- (iii) Imbalance pooling
- (iv) Alignment of the definitions and clauses in the BA, BC and BP
- (v) Technical changes and material error corrections

The documents subject to these modifications are the balancing agreement, balancing program and balancing code, which describe the balancing services offered by Balansys.

## 2. CONSULTATION PROCESS

Balansys launched this market consultation by publishing the proposed documents on its website - at the usual location for such consultations, supported by an announcement on the homepage - and via direct e-mailing to all registered market participants and associations. During the period from November 12<sup>th</sup> until December 11<sup>th</sup> 2019 (included), stakeholders were invited to submit their written feedback.

Taking into account the different comments received, Balansys submits for approval to both regulatory parties, ILR and CREG, the so amended version of the Balancing Agreement, the Balancing Program and the Balancing Code.

## 3. OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION PROCESS

All comments received are listed and individually treated in the “Q&A’s” included in the consultation report submitted to CREG – see appendices.

Feedback was received from 1 individual Grid User: Shell Energy Europe Limited (Shell).

The Network User submitted two suggestions. The first suggestion concerned §6.2 of the Balancing Program in which Shell expressed its desire for further clarification of the addition made by Balansys. Balansys recognises the need for further clarification and adjusted the addition accordingly.

*'... for the allocation or communication of the required data related to the Balancing or Force Majeure invoked by one or both TSOs under the STA and/or CCF that hinders the provision of Transmission Services by one or both TSOs.'*

The second suggestion concerned the addition in §6.6 of the Balancing Program, in which the Network User is requesting the deletion as it would imply uncertainty. As the addition is implemented to protect the Network User for events clearly described in Article 6.1, the insertion will not be deleted.

## 4. APPENDICES

### 4.1 Appendix 1: Market consultation – public material

### 4.2 Appendix 2: Market consultation – confidential material